

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,348	08/01/2003		Victor Selig	ST8726US	3721	
22203	7590	09/19/2006		EXAMINER		
KUSNER 6			CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT			
HIGHLAND PLACE SUITE 310 6151 WILSON MILLS ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HIGHLANI	HEIGHT	rs, oh 44143	1744			
				DATE MAILED: 09/19/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/633,348	SELIG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sean E. Conley	1744

Auvisory Action	10/633,348	SELIG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean E. Conley	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI			.000
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden	ice, which
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later. In
no event, nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire in Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	3cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		· maliant Amondment (	DTOL 204)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	inpliant Amendment (	F10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,7-15,17 and 18.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	the entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	/	•
X. 2l. 9/18/06	K	KRISANNE JA PRIMARY EX	STRZAB AMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment filed 9/5/2006 raises new issues that require further searching and consideration. Specifically, the following proposed limitation in claim 1, "a fluid passage extending through said side wall and communicating with said convoluted path to direct a microbial deactivation fluid into said path", raises a new issue that requires further searching and consideration since this limitaiton was not previously presented in the claims. Also, the following proposed limitaiton in claim 10, "a fluid passage extending through a wall in said tray and communicating with said U-shaped channel to direct a microbial deactivation fluid into said U-shaped channel", raises a new issue that requires further searching and consideration since it also was not previously presented in the claims.